

Hi Roy / Dave

I have a few queries from the last 2 weeks fixtures. Ramsbottom B were a player short due to injury to Ryan, Accident at school. B***** C***** wife poorly. Why was R*** put on the card when he wasn't available to play, surely this should go down as a forfeit.

I see this week R*** has been re-ranked into the A team and also played for the A team, according to the rules this is not allowed.

The rule 12 (iv) Any player achieving an average of 80% or over in the previous season and remaining in the same division are excluded from assisting other teams.

Cheers P***

P***, <<Why was R*** put on the card when he wasn't available to play, surely this should go down as a forfeit. >>

I am not sure at which point he was unable to play, but does it make any difference? All his games were forfeited or have I missed something?

<<I see this week R*** has been re-ranked into the A team and also played for the A team, according to the rules this is not allowed.

The rule 12 (iv) Any player achieving an average of 80% or over in the previous season and remaining in the same division are excluded from assisting other teams.>>

I am not sure I get your argument on this one. "Assisting other teams" is playing up from a lower team into a higher team than to the one to which you are assigned as outlined in the step-up rules 12 (c) page four of the handbook. R***** decided that due to a couple of A team players not being available due to injury, I think, that Ryan should be reassigned to their A team, which they are perfectly allowed to do, just as you might permanently transfer someone from **your** B team to **your** A team. As such he is now a permanent A team player and can no longer play for the B team. Roy Caswell; General Secretary

Roy

I am at a complete loss that your interpretation is not mine. There is no interpretation. Fact, R***** A V R***** B on the 4th of February 2019 played a match with the B team only having 2 players, this was put on the website in a caption. R*** had got an injury at school and was not available to play. Also on the same caption it said B***** was unable to play due to his wife being poorly. R***** B should go down as forfeit only having 2 players. rule 12 does not apply as Ryan has a better average than the A teams 3rd player, he is not eligibly to play for the first team.

I will repeat my self, R***** B only had 2 players on the night of the match bearing in mind the A team have 6 players The B team have 10 players and the C team have 11 players I find it very hard to accept they couldn't find a third player.

The question is whoever has made the mistake being John as match secretary or you as general secretary it needs rectifying before the league matches start again a week on Monday.

Again this is not a decision for the committee to decide.

P***.

P***,

Since you don't accept the explanation it will have to go on the agenda for our next Committee meeting.

Roy

Roy

I don't Except your explanation and I don't understand why it has to go to a committee meeting, they have broken the rules. This should be rectified asap. R***'s games should go down as forfeit and a £3:00 fine applied, he did not attend the match when he was injured. R*** playing for the first team should go down as a forfeit and a £3:00 fine applied, he is not eligible to play for the first team. If Any Member of the committee has allowed this to happen they are completely out of order. The rules are there for all to adhere to. This is not an ambiguous situation it is crystal clear and should not go to committee meeting for a decision. You should apply the rules accordingly.

P***

P***,

Well, when one person thinks one thing and another thinks another then a Committee has to consider it. I am only one person, the General Secretary and your interpretation is not mine. Then with a Committee decision, you also then have the right of appeal to Lancashire. That's how it works. Committees sometimes see things that one person doesn't, so that will have to be the end of it for now until we have the opportunity to for a group discussion and group official opinion.

Roy

Hi Roy

Thanks for copying me in .

I have to say I fully support P***'s point regarding putting R*** on the scorecard: if he could not attend then surely the card should be left blank, no name should be entered.

As P*** has explained , the consequence of using his name is to reduce his average, which conveniently appears to have made him eligible to play for the A Team .

Regards

T***

Roy

I am at a complete loss that your interpretation is not mine. There is no interpretation. Fact, R***** A V R***** B on the 4th of February 2019 played a match with the B team only having 2 players, this was put on the website in a caption. R*** had got an injury at school and was not available to play. Also on the same caption it said B**** was unable to play due to his wife being poorly. R***** B should go down as forfeit only having 2 players. rule 12 does not apply as Ryan has a better average than the A teams 3rd player, he is not eligibly to play for the first team. I will repeat my self, R***** B only had 2 players on the night of the match bearing in mind the A team have 6 players The B team have 10 players and the C team have 11 players I find it very hard to accept they couldn't find a third player.

The question is whoever has made the mistake being John as match secretary or you as general secretary it needs rectifying before the league matches start again a week on Monday.

Again this is not a decision for the committee to decide.

P***.

P***,

Give us some time. Investigations and any needed corrections are underway.

Roy

Since this correspondence, B**** E***** has agreed the name should not have stayed on the card. He was not there and he can only assume it was left on as they were originally expecting him. So card is to be corrected and £3 fine added.